WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				
		V.	ORL	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Efrain Delatorre-Hernandez		frain Delatorre-Hernandez	Case Number:	11-08500M-001
and wa	ıs repres	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 sented by counsel. I conclude by a prep nt pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing voonderance of the evidence f	was held on May 12, 2011. Defendant was presen the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
I find h	v a nren	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
T III IG D			United Ctates on lawfully	lander of the
		The defendant is not a citizen of the		
		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs		
<ul> <li>The leased fretern, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the or otherwise removed.</li> <li>The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the D</li> <li>The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she m to assure his/her future appearance.</li> </ul>			d the jurisdiction of this Cou	is by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs rt and the defendant has previously been deported
			ntacts in the United States o	or in the District of Arizona.
			he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated	
	$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal h	nistory.	
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		).		
		The defendant is an amnesty appli substantial family ties to Mexico.	cant but has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
		There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.	
		The defendant attempted to evade l	aw enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maximum	of	years imprisonment.
at the t	The Co	ourt incorporates by reference the mate ne hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Solted in the record.	services Agency which were reviewed by the Court
		•	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
	1.	There is a serious risk that the defer	ndant will flee.	
	2.	No condition or combination of cond	itions will reasonably assure	the appearance of the defendant as required.
			ONS REGARDING DETEN	
appeal. of the U	tions fac The de Inited St	cility separate, to the extent practicable fendant shall be afforded a reasonable	e, from persons awaiting or s e opportunity for private cons se Government, the person i	wher designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
			S AND THIRD PARTY REL	
deliver : Court.	IT IS O	RDERED that should an appeal of this fithe motion for review/reconsideration	s detention order be filed wit n to Pretrial Services at least	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Service investig	s suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to the hearing befor potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be consider the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
				Manage .
DATE:	May 1	2 2011		

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge